UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,197	06/01/2006 Takao Hasegawa		040894-7453	2328
	7590 11/13/200 VIS & BOCKIUS LLP		EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE N		SMITH, SCOTT A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/581,	10/581,197 HASEGAWA ET		AL.	
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		Scott A.	Smith	3721		
<i>T</i> Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHOR WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE M s of time may be available under the provisions 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr od for reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF To sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no conunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a repl will expire SIX (6) MONTH pplication to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ Th 3)⊡ Sir	sponsive to communication(s) file is action is FINAL . Ince this application is in condition sed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matter	•	e merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)⊠ Cla	nim(s) 1,2,14 and 15 is/are pending Of the above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. nim(s) 1,2 and 15 is/are rejected. nim(s) 14 is/are objected to. nim(s) are subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject to restrict the subject the subje	re withdrawn from c	onsideration.			
	-	o Evaminor				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	rmal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/581,197 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on 9/11/08 has been entered and the remarks therein have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Udagawa et al. '529.

Udagawa et al. '529 discloses a stapling apparatus comprising a feeding roller 43 driven by suitable drive mechanism; i.e. a "motor" (note: column 4, lines 60-65) for advancing a straight staple of a coil of staples (note: Fig. 9) which are accommodated in a holder/cartridge to a stapling location.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Udagawa et al. '529 in view of German patent '519 or Hoshi et al. '334.

Udagawa et al. '529 lacks the feed roller having feed teeth. German patent '519, as set forth above, discloses a feeding roller comprising teeth "d". Hoshi et al. '334 discloses a feeding roller 50 comprising a plurality of teeth thereon (note: Fig. 10). In view of the teachings of German patent '519 or Hoshi et al. '334, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the periphery of the feeding roller of the stapler of Udagawa et al. '529 with a plurality of teeth in order to more effectively register and retain the staple coil during operation.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 9/11/08, with respect to German patent '519 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1 and 2 under 102(b)

relying upon German patent '519 has been withdrawn, since it is not conclusive that a motor, or staple sheets are disclosed.

Page 4

8. Applicant's arguments filed on 9/11/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regard to the 102(b) rejection of claim 1 relying upon Udagawa, applicant argues that the embodiment of Fig. 9, which shows the coiled staple, fails to disclose the claimed feeding roller. The embodiment of Fig. 9 is merely relied upon to show that a coiled staple configuration can be used, commensurate with the disclosure in the previous embodiment(s); i.e. the feeding roller is utilized in each of the chosen embodiments. Applicant argues that Hoshi fails to disclose a feeding roller as claimed. Udagawa is relied upon to show a positively driven feeding roller. Hoshi discloses a feeding roller, as broadly claimed, which has teeth and contacts the delivered staples. Although the roller 50 of Hoshi is not positively driven, it assists in the feeding of the fasteners, and is thus an analogous teaching.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/581,197 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4469. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-4:00 Tues.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S. Smith

/Scott A. Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721